



2nd IWA Leading-Edge Conference & Exhibition on Strategic Asset Management

Rehabilitation of a large sewer: methodology for the Alcântara interceptor sewer

M. C. Almeida, F. Fernandes, N. Charneca, M. C. David

LESAM 2007 – Lisbon 17-19 October 2007

INTRODUCTION

Pro-active rehabilitation is recognised as a key step for reduction of risk associated with water infrastructures.

Challenge:

- Definition of appropriate strategy
- Adequate use of limited resources
- Effective management and use of available information







- Adequacy of the method used to assess the functional condition,
 - Large amount of data, recognition observations relevant to priority situations, transferability of approaches, ...
- Resources are not optimised or directed to priority situations



INTRODUCTION

Large sewers (≥ 1.5m) pose specific problems:

- Person-entry inspection necessary
- Pre-inspection works are complex and expensive (e.g. cleaning operations)
- Structural behaviour is case specific
- Failure and rehabilitation often results in severe consequences (public safety, financial, social, environmental)

Thus,

- Early detection of critical situations is essential
- Minimisation of inspections is aimed



Leading-Edge **Asset Management**

INTRODUCTION

Specially for large sewers, understanding of **deterioration mechanisms** and identification of influencing factors for specific sewers, allows:

- Plan selective inspections based on expected behaviour, available information and case specific factors
- Identification of specific symptoms during visual inspections – allows to perform a more selective data analysis



SAM 2007 – Lisbon 17-19 October 2007

INTRODUCTION

Looking into **structural deterioration** of sewers, factors to be considered include:

- Design and construction structural design, installation method, standard of workmanship, sewer size, sewer depth, bedding material and type, sewer pipe material, joint type and material, pipe section length, connections
- Local external surface land use, surface loading, surface type, traffic characteristics, water main burst/leakage, ground movement, maintenance of other buried services, groundwater level, infiltration/exfiltration, soil backfill type, root interference
- Internal functional hydraulic behaviour, sewage characteristics, sediment level
- **Maintenance and operational** insufficient or inappropriate maintenance practices, asset age



Leading-Edge Asset Management

LESAM 2007 – Lisbon 17-19 October 2007

OBJECTIVE

Development of a methodology to define rehabilitation strategies for large sewers, including:

- analysis of deterioration mechanisms
- identification of the associated specific symptoms, and
- selective visual inspection for confirmation of the approach

Specific objectives include:

- procedure for overall infrastructure condition evaluation by means of a GIS system (spatial analysis)
- definition of an effective strategy for the timely detection of the critical situations (higher risk of structural failure)
- minimisation of time and costs of inspection



METHODOLOGY

- Planning of GIS project and data structure and information upgrading
- Mapping of structural problems (internal and external causes)
- Establishment and execution of a priori inspection plan
- Framework for rehabilitation and inspection priorities definition

ESAM 2007 – Lisbon 17-19 October 2007





METHODOLOGY

 Mapping of structural problems (internal and external causes of deterioration)



METHODOLOGY

Establishment and execution of a priori inspection plan



METHODOLOGY

Definition of framework for rehabilitation and inspection priorities

Determination & mapping of priority of intervention and inspection using a tailored risk matrix

Establishment and execution of a posteriori inspection and instrumentation plan

Establishment of rehabilitation, monitoring and inspection plans



Leading-Edge Asset Management Probabilities: key condition observations; Consequences: land use, structures & infrastructures, public safety, ...; Risk: related to priority of intervention or inspection

Confirmation of the results from application of the framework

Actions required for future action including instrumentation of critical situations

LESAM 2007 – Lisbon 17-19 October 2007

CASE STUDY

Alcântara interceptor sewer

Alcântara Stream was covered between 1944 and 1967

- Combined sewer
- 10 km length interceptor sewer
- Serviced area: 3200 ha
- Predominant material: non-reinforced concrete
- High flow velocities (dry and wet weather)
- Increasing frequency of structural failures since 1990's



Alcântara interceptor

Sewer characteristics

- Caneiro' cross section
- Dimensions: up to 5.15m x 8 m
- Design (dry/wet weather):
 - flow: 2.5 / 213 m³/s
 - velocity: 1.5 / >7 m/s
 - Flow depth: 0.7 / 5 m





Leading-Edge Asset Management

LESAM 2007 – Lisbon 17-19 October 2007

Deterioration mechanisms

Internal causes

Physical, chemical and biochemical processes Relevant factors:

- pipe fabric and dimensions
- composition and density of the flow
- type, size and form of the transported solids
- chemistry of the wastewater
- flow velocity
- angle of attach between solid particles and the pipe walling
- flow regime

Predominant: mechanical wear (pipe invert)



Internal causes: flushing wear

Critical sewer lengths were identified based on:

- Steep sewer lengths (e.g. 10% ramps)
- length immediately downstream of ramps and drops
- insertion of large sewers



Leading-Edge Asset Management

Internal causes

- Past reactive rehabilitation actions associated with identified internal causes
- A priori inspections revealed the expected symptoms
- Most critical situations found were due to internal causes
- Mechanical wear was also found to be significant in the dry weather flow channel (>40 years in service)



AM 2007 – Lisbon 17-19 October 2007



Final remarks

- Understanding the mechanisms of deterioration of the sewers and identification of associated symptoms can be of large value especially in the case of large sewers
- The methodology used provides a way to reduce inspections and observations to be considered in the analysis, by a screening procedure associated with the type of mechanisms of degradation
- Use of GIS simplifies the tasks required for:
 - defining the rehabilitation strategy and program
 - programming of inspections minimising the risk of personnel
- Transparency in the analysis process is valued by the decision maker (qualitative risk matrix)



Leading-Edge Asset Management

LESAM 2007 – Lisbon 17-19 October 2007